IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELATE CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.3769 OF 2004

Dr. Santoshkumar Nagnath Deshmukh ..Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ..Respondents

Mr.M.D.Lonkar for Petitioner

Mrs.S.S.Bhende, A.G.P. for Respondent nos.1 & 2

Mr. P.P. Chavan with Mr. V.M. Bhate for Respondent no.5.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
S.P. KUKDAY, JJ.
DATE: 3RD FEBRUARY, 2005.

P.C.

. The petitioner in the present petition impugns the order dated 5th November, 2003 of the Administrative Administration Tribunal in P.A. No.355 of 2003. We are not required now to go into that order as subsequent to the said order respondent no.6 to the petition has left the College. Respondent no.5 has been appointed on adhoc basis and as such there is no need to disturb the appointment of petitioner on adhoc basis. The grievance of the petitioner further is that he should not be replaced by another adhoc appointment. The law is well settled that one adhoc should not be substituted or replaced by another adhoc appointee but by regular cadre unless the adhoc appointee for

one or the other reason cannot be continued in the post. For the above reasons the petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

(F.I.REBELLO,J.)

(S.P. KUKDAY,J.)